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Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

## Mark scheme instructions to examiners

## General

The mark scheme for each question shows:

- the marks available for each part of the question
- the total marks available for the question
- marking instructions that indicate when marks should be awarded or withheld including the principle on which each mark is awarded. Information is included to help the examiner make his or her judgement and to delineate what is creditworthy from that not worthy of credit
- a typical solution. This response is one we expect to see frequently. However credit must be given on the basis of the marking instructions.

If a student uses a method which is not explicitly covered by the marking instructions the same principles of marking should be applied. Credit should be given to any valid methods.
Examiners should seek advice from their senior examiner if in any doubt.

## Key to mark types

| $M$ | mark is for method |
| :--- | :--- |
| $R$ | mark is for reasoning |
| A | mark is dependent on M marks and is for accuracy |
| B | mark is independent of M marks and is for method and accuracy |
| E | mark is for explanation |
| F | follow through from previous incorrect result |

Key to mark scheme abbreviations

| CAO | correct answer only |
| :--- | :--- |
| CSO | correct solution only |
| ft | follow through from previous incorrect result |
| 'their' | indicates that credit can be given from previous incorrect result |
| AWFW | anything which falls within |
| AWRT | anything which rounds to |
| ACF | any correct form |
| AG | answer given |
| SC | special case |
| OE | or equivalent |
| NMS | no method shown |
| PI | possibly implied |
| SCA | substantially correct approach |
| sf | significant figure(s) |
| dp | decimal place(s) |

Examiners should consistently apply the following general marking principles

## No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award full marks. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn no marks.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns full marks, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains no marks.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

## Diagrams

Diagrams that have working on them should be treated like normal responses. If a diagram has been written on but the correct response is within the answer space, the work within the answer space should be marked. Working on diagrams that contradicts work within the answer space is not to be considered as choice but as working, and is not, therefore, penalised.

## Work erased or crossed out

Erased or crossed out work that is still legible and has not been replaced should be marked. Erased or crossed out work that has been replaced can be ignored.

## Choice

When a choice of answers and/or methods is given and the student has not clearly indicated which answer they want to be marked, mark positively, awarding marks for all of the student's best attempts. Withhold marks for final accuracy and conclusions if there are conflicting complete answers or when an incorrect solution (or part thereof) is referred to in the final answer.

## ASIA-level Maths/Further Maths assessment objectives

| AO |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AO1 | AO1.1a | Select routine procedures |
|  | AO1.1b | Correctly carry out routine procedures |
|  | AO1.2 | Accurately recall facts, terminology and definitions |
|  | AO2.1 | Construct rigorous mathematical arguments (including proofs) |
|  | AO2.2a | Make deductions |
|  | AO2.2b | Make inferences |
|  | AO2.4 | Explain their reasoning |
|  | AO2.5 | Use mathematical language and notation correctly |
| AO3 | AO3.1a | Translate problems in mathematical contexts into mathematical processes |
|  | AO3.1b | Translate problems in non-mathematical contexts into mathematical processes |
|  | AO3.2a | Interpret solutions to problems in their original context |
|  | AO3.2b | Where appropriate, evaluate the accuracy and limitations of solutions to problems |
|  | AO3.4 | Translate situations in context into mathematical models |
|  | AO3.5a | Evaluate the outcomes of modelling in context |
|  | AO3.5b | Recognise the limitations of models |
|  | AO3.5c | Where appropriate, explain how to refine models |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Marking Instructions | AO | Marks | Typical Solution |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Circles correct answer |  | AO1.1b | B1 |
|  |  | 31 |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Marking Instructions | AO | Marks | Typical Solution |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Circles correct answer |  | AO1.1b | B1 |
|  |  | $d=10$ |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| Q | Marking Instructions | AO | Marks | Typical Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Defines two variables to represent the number of apple cakes and the number of banana cakes | AO3.1b | B1 | $x=$ number of apple cakes <br> $y=$ number of banana cakes |
|  | Obtains the correct non-trivial constraint for eggs or flour (condone strict inequality) | A01.1a | M1 | Maximise $P=x+y$ subject to $3 x+2 y \leq 36$ |
|  | Obtains both correct non-trivial constraints for eggs and flour (condone strict inequality) | A01.1b | A1 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 x+150 y \leq 1500 \\ & x \geq 0, y \geq 0 \\ & x, y \text { are integer } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Formulates the linear programming problem correctly with statement of maximising $x+y$ and all constraints fully correct (Condone $x, y$ are integer missing) | AO2.5 | A1 |  |
|  | Total |  | 4 |  |


| Q | Marking Instructions | AO | Marks | Typical Solution |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4(a) | Defines bipartite graph with <br> reference to two sets of vertices <br> and that vertices of the same set <br> are not connected | AO1.2 | B1 | A bipartite graph is one in which <br> the vertices can be split into two <br> sets where no edge connects <br> vertices in the same set |
| 4(b)(i) | Sets up 10 correctly labelled <br> vertices <br> (Accept abbreviations, e.g. J for <br> Jay) | AO1.1a | M1 |  |
| 4(b)(ii) | Draws correct bipartite graph <br> showing all connections | AO1.1b | Ases graph theory terminology |  |
| to explain that the vertex |  |  |  |  |
| representing Nish is not |  |  |  |  |
| connected to the vertex |  |  |  |  |
| representing the bassoon |  |  |  |  |
| or |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ AO2.4

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Q \& Marking Instructions \& AO \& Marks \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Typical Solution} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{5(a)} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Partially completes Cayley table (3 correct rows or 3 correct columns) \\
Fully completes Cayley table correctly
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
A01.1a \\
A01.1b
\end{tabular}} \& M1 \& \({ }_{4}\) \& 0 \& 1 \& \({ }^{2}\) \& 0 \\
\hline \& \& \& \multirow{3}{*}{A1} \& 1 \& 0 \& 1 \& 2 \& \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \& \& \& \& 2 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 2 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& 3 \& 0 \& 3 \& 2 \& 1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{5(b)(i)} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Completes table correctly} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{A01.1b} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{B1} \& \& a \& \(b\) \& c \& d \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& b \& a \& a \& \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& a \& c \& d \& \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& a \& d \& d \& d \\
\hline \& \& \& \& d \& \& \& d \& " \\
\hline 5(b)(ii) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Sets up a test for associativity with at least two different elements of \(S\) \\
Constructs complete mathematical argument to justify non-associativity
\end{tabular} \& A01.1a

AO2.1 \& R1 \&  \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& = \\
& c= \\
& e \neq \\
& e
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | $d=$ |
| :--- |
| $c=$ |
| b) |
| ot | \& cia \& <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total} \& 5 \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Q | Marking Instructions | AO | Marks | Typical Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a) | Sets up a model by identifying the problem as a route inspection problem by noting that $B, E, G$ and $H$ are odd-degree nodes (PI) <br> Uses the model to find six distances between the odddegree nodes with at least four correct shortest distances (PI) <br> Finds all three correct minimum pairs of shortest distances <br> Uses their shortest pairing to determine the total distance Ashley will cover during the journey <br> Determines the correct least possible time using their total distance with use of 1465 metres | AO3. 3 <br> AO3.4 <br> A01.1b <br> AO1.1a <br> AO3.2a | M1 <br> M1 <br> A1 <br> M1 <br> A1F | Odd nodes are $B, E, G, H$ <br> Shortest Distances <br> Pairings $\begin{aligned} & (B-E)(G-H)=195+50=245^{*} \\ & (B-G)(E-H)=105+250=355 \\ & (B-H)(E-G)=110+230=340 \end{aligned}$ <br> 245 m is the shortest length to be repeated. $1465+245=1710 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> $1710 \mathrm{~m}=1.710 \mathrm{~km}$ $1.710 \div 7=0.244 \text { hours }$ |
| 6(b)(i) | Identifies the weights of the two arcs representing the shortest paths from entrance <br> Finds the correct lower bound | A01.1b <br> A01.1b | B1 B1 | The two shortest arcs from the entrance are <br> AB: 45 <br> AG: 60 <br> Lower bound $=510+45+60$ <br> $=615$ metres |
| 6(b)(ii) | Uses the nearest neighbour algorithm beginning at $A$ to find the correct Hamiltonian cycle (PI) <br> Determines correctly the upper bound | A01.1a <br> A01.1b | M1 <br> A1 | $A-B-C-D-E-F-1-H-G-A$ <br> Upper bound $\begin{aligned} & =45+50+60+100+90+75+ \\ & 85+50+60 \\ & =615 \text { metres } \end{aligned}$ |


| 6(c)(i) | Justifies the optimal distance for <br> Brook's journey <br> Calculates correctly a time from | AO2.1 | R1 | The upper bound and lower bound <br> are both 615 metres, so the <br> optimal distance is 615 metres |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| their lower bound or their upper |  |  |  |  |
| bound for Brook's journey |  |  |  |  |
| Shows that the journeys for <br> Ashley and Brook have <br> approximately the same time by <br> comparing the journey times in <br> consistent units | AO3.2a | A1 | $0.615 \div 5+8 \times \frac{1}{60}$ <br> $=0.256$ hours <br> The times for Ashley and Brook <br> are both about $1 / 4$ of an hour |  |
| $\mathbf{6 ( c ) ( i i ) ~}$ | Recognises a limitation of model <br> and states a plausible assumption <br> in context | AO3.5b | B1 | Ashley and Brook work at a <br> constant rate with no breaks |
| or |  |  |  |  |


| Q | Marking Instructions | AO | Marks | Typical Solution |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(a)(i) | Writes down a transposed matrix of 3 rows and 4 columns with any twelve elements <br> Writes down fully correct pay-off matrix for Bex | A01.1a <br> A01.1b | M1 | $\square a^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $A_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $A_{3}$ | $A_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | -2 | 4 | - | ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 |
|  |  |  | A1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7(a)(ii) | Explains, using game theory terminology, that strategy $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ dominates strategy $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ | AO2.4 | E1 | $-3<-2,-2<4,-1<0,2<3$ hence strategy $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ dominates strategy $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Explains, using game theory terminology, how strategy $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ is dominated | AO2.4 | E1 | $-2<4,1<2,-3<-2$, hence strategy $\mathbf{A}_{1}$ dominates strategy $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7(b) | Introduces and defines a probability variable (PI) <br> Uses the model to find one (unsimplified) expected gain for Bex | A03.3 | B1 | Let Bex choose strategy $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ with probability $p$ and strategy $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ with probability $1-p$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AO3.4 | M1 | If Ali plays: <br> $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ : expected gain for Bex $=-2 p+1(1-p)=-3 p+1$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Finds correctly all three (unsimplified) expected gains for Bex | A01.1b | A1 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ : expected gain for Bex $=-1(1-p)=p-1$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Draws a graph with at least one vertical axis and their 3 expected gains (allow one slip) | A01.1a | M1 | A $_{4}$ : expected gain for Bex$=3 p-2(1-p)=5 p-2$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Identifies correctly the optimal point of intersection from the graph and finds the correct value of the probability variable | A01.1b | A1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Deduces their value of the game for Ali | AO2.2a | B1F | $\begin{aligned} & p-1=-3 p+1 \\ & p=\frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ <br> Value of game for Bex $=\frac{1}{2}-1=-\frac{1}{2}$ <br> Hence, value of game for $\mathrm{Ali}=\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |

